

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

TOM LOUX,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:03-cv-00240-LRH-VPC
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER FOR PREPARATION OF
	)	JURY INSTRUCTIONS
STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

---

The parties shall submit proposed jury instructions as follows:

(a) The parties shall jointly submit one set of agreed upon instructions. To accomplish this, the parties shall serve their proposed instructions on each other on or before March 13, 2007. The parties shall then meet, confer, and submit to the court one complete set of agreed upon instructions.

(b) If the parties cannot agree upon one complete set of instructions, they shall submit one set of those instructions that have been agreed upon, and each party shall submit a supplemental set of instructions that are not agreed upon.

(c) The joint instructions and supplemental instructions shall be filed on or before March 16, 2007. Each party shall then file, on or before March 20, 2007, any objections to the non-agreed upon instructions proposed by the other party. All objections shall be in writing and shall set forth the proposed instruction objected to in its entirety. The objection should specifically set forth the objectionable material in the proposed instruction. The objection shall contain citation to authority explaining why the instruction is improper and a concise statement of argument concerning the instruction. Where applicable, the objecting party shall submit an alternative instruction.

(d) The parties shall submit the proposed joint set of instructions and proposed supplemental instructions in the following format:

(i) there must be two (2) copies of each instruction;

(ii) the first copy shall be annotated with the authority supporting the instruction;

(iii) the second copy shall contain only the proposed instruction – there should be no other marks or writings on the second copy except for the words “Jury Instruction No. \_\_\_\_” in the bottom left margin. (See Attachment 1, attached hereto.)

(e) Prior to the settlement of instructions, the parties may submit a concise argument supporting the appropriateness of each party’s proposed instructions to which the other party objected.

(f) All instructions should be short, concise, understandable, and neutral statements of law. Argumentative or formula instructions are improper, will not be given, and should not be submitted.

(g) Any modifications of instructions from statutory authority, the Ninth Circuit Manual of Model Jury Instructions, or any other model instructions, must specifically state the modification made to the original source and the authority supporting the modification.

(h) Counsel shall submit to the court, using WordPerfect software, proposed jury instructions, either on:

(i) 3-1/2" diskette(s), or

(ii) by email addressed to sheila\_lund@nvd.uscourts.gov

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2007.



---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into  
2 evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the  
3 facts are. I will list them for you.

4 1. Questions and objections are not evidence. You should not be influenced by the  
5 court's ruling on them.

6 2. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to  
7 disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some testimony and exhibits  
8 have been received only for a limited purpose; where I have given a limiting instruction, you  
9 must follow it.

10 3. Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not  
11 evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 JURY INSTRUCTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_